

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,300	12/12/2003	Hong-Da Liu	MR2707-51	2519	
4586 75	590 04/14/2005		EXAMINER		
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE 3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,300	LIU, HONG-DA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Schechter	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addi	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	December 2003				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	·	nerits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burer * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	tage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/733,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8 and 18-22, drawn to part of an IPS-LCD, classified in class
 349, subclass 141.
 - II. Claims 9-17, drawn to a method of making an IPS-LCD, classified in class 349, subclass 187.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device Group I can be made without the step of selectively etching the first structure for forming a first part and a second part, as recited in Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2871

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. The micro scattering layer comprises a conductor on the substrate and an insulator on the conductor with nanometer scale roughness [cf. claims 3, 11, and 19].
- B. The micro scattering layer comprises a layer of crystalline seeds and an insulator on the crystalline seeds with nanometer scale roughness [cf. claims 4, 13, 14, and 21].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the claims not referred to above are believed to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/733,300

Art Unit: 2871

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (571) 272-2302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,300

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

Page 5

12 April 2005